G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1915.

File No. 346 -15

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

(Imzai)

Later references.

Receipt 156 - 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Part B for Jan 16

SUBJECT.

Use of Certain prohibited insignia by the Swami of muji matha in Chitral town.

To D.C Chitaldurg - No 1730 Dy 12-1-16

Requesting him to advise the above Swami to abide by the previous Govt orders on the subject

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

H. S. Srivastava Asst Imzg

G. R. S. 11] 1915

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inward

NOTES

File No 346 -15

FILE HEADING.

Use of certain prohibited insignia by the Swami of Muragi matha in Chitaldrug town.

From

The Dy Commissioner, Chitaldrug Dist.

(Confidential) D.O No. 357 Dated 1-12-15 Received 3-12-15

Diary No. 922	Enclos —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that complaints reached him to the effect that the Muragi Swami during his procession in Chitaldrug Town on 17.10.15, attempted to use certain insignia to which he is not entitled, and requests specific orders of Govt in the matter.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

4-9-15 Murg. I no 54 of 1902 about the claim of Muragi matha to Panchakalasa is herewith submitted. An authenticated copy of the Rahadari granted to this

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB 20 000—8-8-14

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 346 of 1915.

Serial No.	
The orig: file containing the Rahadari is not readily traceable)	On 29th June 1907 is available. This Rahadari simply mentions "C among other insignia etc. A disciple- the Sri Vyasaraya Swami matha to the Dy. Comm^r that the Swami of the Murugi matha used the in known as "ಅಂಬಲಿರಾಯರ ಪಲ್ಲಕ್ಕಿ" his procession on 17th October 1815, that this is opposed to the of 1904-
Top y & x	"Nagare on camel" is a special Biradari to Vyasaraya Swami matha. It is not known whether carrying a flag on a camel amounts to a Biradari As the Rahadari of 1907 does not permit the Swami of the Murugi matha to carry a flag on a camel, he may be prohibited from doing so in future
	It is complained that the S was seated in a palanquin with 3 Kalasas attached to it in a in the centre on the top of it, whereas the rahadari refers only to a "Sada palaki"
	From Muz J no 57-1902, it will be seen that the Murugi Swami

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 11 15

Serial No.

Fg W

urged his claim to the use Panchakalasa, and in Sl No 5054 Dy 18-5-1908, it was rejected. Mmz. J. no 30 of 1913, it will be seen that the claims of this matha to Adda Palaki and Panchakalasa again finally rejected by Govt (V Govt no 1842 Dy 22-1-1914).

Fg. V

It is also complained that Swami had arranged that day to enter into the Town mounted on an elephant in an ornamental Howda prepared at a cost of 10 or 15 Thousand Rupees, but the Howda having rather late and in deference to a suggestion made by the local Officers, the idea has to be given up-

Fg U

In the Rahadari granted to the Sri Uttaradi matha on 19-1-1915, ಕೊಪ್ಪರಿಗೆ ಹಿಡಿದು ಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿ" is mentioned- this is a special Biruda to this matha. And no other matha enjoys it- It is opposed to the Rahadari 1907 if the Swami attempts to use Howda upon elephant-

In para 6 of the O o, the Dy Commr suggests that a full list

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 15

Serial No.

of all emblems which are considered as insignia may be prepared and supplied to him with information as to which of such insignia have been granted to which persons and mathas -

No such list is available - A copy of the list of insignia which certain mathas are alleged to have been using in the past is available this does not seem to be an authentic record.

Individual cases of insignia are coming up before Govt. and decided on merits and previous Sanads etc. It is not known whether the claims of all mathas both in and outside the State have come up before Govt and orders passed. Besides this branch does not concern itself with Grants to private individuals.

Submitted for orders

W (SS)
14.12.1915.

Mr. C S Balasundaram in the paper under disposal solicits instructions on three points

X (Submitted for ref. in connection with Nethradani to Sri Ahobila Mutt)

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

GPR—20 600—20 1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 46 of 1915

Serial No.

which are noted below with office note in juxta position

Points —

1 That a flag was being carried by a man mounted on a Camel and that this amounted to the use of the insegnia known as ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ in contravention of the Paladari & issued by Govt to the mutt in 1907.

Office note

. As this is not include in the Paladari of 1907, the Swamy may be advised not to use it in future

I agree there should be no innovations in the manner unless they are harmless Gs

2. That the Swami had seated in a Palanquin with "3 Palasas" attached to it in a line in the Centre on the top of it.

Do - Do -

Gs

3. That the Swami had an idea of entering the town in a procession seated on an elephant with Howdah.

This honour i e "ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಸೂರ್ಯ-ಶೆ" is not included among the honors shown in Paladari This seems be a special insignia to certain important mutts

Need is also objectionable Gs

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

GPB—20 000—20 1-12

9226

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 76/6 of 1915

Serial No

such as Uttaradi etc and is also considered as a Royal emblem generally used by the Ruling family. The Swami maybe advised to give up the idea of using "ಪಂಚಕಲಶ" in order to prevent further complications in the matter

ann
17/12/15

Please put up a draft D.O accordingly in cpt's

GS
20/12

Submitted with a draft D.O
NC 857 ann
23-12-15 24/12/15

GS
27.12

3.1
First Member)

The 'flag on a camel' is perhaps a small matter & hardly worth notice.

The three Kalasas on Palki is I suppose have been thought of as a next best substitute for Panchakalasa.

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191

Serial No

'Howdah on an elephant is also an ~~innovation~~ innovation meant to give a distinction of singularity.

My personal inclination is to let such things alone as their importance consists only in their attracting notice. The question may perhaps be submitted to His Highness before disposal as in the suggested draft

Dewan

5/1/16

IAT
6/1/16

6.1.16

3465
6-1-16

2 No: 1730 dt 22-1-16, to Dy Coll & Chittaldroog, requesting him to advise the Swamigalu & abide by the previous Govt orders on Dubrey and no innovation or departure from them will be countenanced by the Govt—

B

NOTE— Contn sheet

GPB—20,000—30 6 15

Submitted to His Highness.

Hon. R

I submit, for information, a D.O.letter addressed by Mr.C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, to the Muzrai Superintendent, requesting orders in the matter of the use of certain prohibited insignias by the Swami of the Murgi Matt in the Chitaldrug town.

2. The points for consideration are indicated on pages 4 to 6 of the office notes.

3. It is proposed to inform the Deputy Commissioner that the Swami may be advised to abide by the previous orders of Government in the matter and that no innovation will be countenanced by Government. A draft reply on these lines which has been approved by the First Member is also submitted.

Draft for approval

4. The First Member, however, states that his personal inclination is to let things alone as their importance consists only in their attracting notice and that the question may perhaps be submitted to His Highness before disposal as in the suggested draft.

P 7 of office note

5. I consider that the draft reply may be approved. The case is submitted for orders.

Draft for approval

M. Krishnaraja

11th Jan. 1916.

MR

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Draft reply to the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, in the matter of the use of certain prohibited insignia by the Swami of the Murgi Matha in the Chitaldrug town.

607.

Order.

~~KRW~~

13/1/16

Sanction RD.
13/1/16 RC

IVT
15/1/16 Submit draft.
May the approved draft
be issued Yes
17.1.16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.	

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

15 16
Mutt
246-15

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

CONFIDENTIAL.

D.O. No. 357

C H I T A L D R U G.

1st December 1915.

My dear sir,

On the occasion of the procession of the Murgi Swamy into the town of Chitaldrug on the 17th October 1915, a member of the Brahmin community by name Puranic Shamachar of Chitaldrug, a disciple of the Vyasaraja Mutt, brought to the notice of the Assistant Commissioner and 1st Class Magistrate of the Chitaldrug Sub Division, who was deputed by me to supervise the procession with the Superintendent of Police and the local Amildar, that a flag was being carried by a man mounted on a camel and that this amounted to the use of the
2) insignia known as "ಅಂಬಾರಿಕಂಬಗಳಿ" in contravention of
1) the Rahadari dated the 29th June 1907 granted to the Mutt by the Muzrai Department which simply mentions " camels." In the petition given by the above person, mention has also been made of the Swamy being seated in a Palanquin with "Three Kalasas"
3) attached to it, in a line, in the centre on the top of it whereas the Rahadari refers only to a " Sadapalki."

2. I also learn that the Swamy had arranged that day to enter into the town mounted on an elephant in an ornamental

Howda said to have been prepared at a cost of 10 or 15 thousand rupees by some of his disciples. But the Howda having arrived rather late and in deference to a suggestion made by the local officers, that idea appears to have been given up and the Swamy went in procession in a Palanquin. Since the Howda has been prepared at ₹ great cost, it is probable that the Swamy may be inclined to use it for procession in the future.

3. I understand that " Anemele Ambari " or " Howda upon elephant " is an insignia granted to Sri Uttaradi Mutt alone and in case the Murgi Mutt uses an elephant with a Howda, the matter may bring on a serious dispute.

4. Since the practice of riding on elephants in Howdas is not common in this part of India and it is only His Highness the Maharaja and members of the Ruling family that use such a conveyance for processional purposes in Mysore, the use of a Howda on an elephant may properly be considered to be royal emblem that can be used only by persons to whom His Highness has given special authority to use such an insignia.

5. If this view is correct the Murgi Mutt cannot use

it without express sanction. I request the favor of instructions on this point and the others specified above, so that I may be in a position to advise the Swamy correctly in accordance with the views of Government, should the question arise again.

6. I also wish to suggest that a full list of all emblems that are considered as insignia - the use of which without express sanction should be prohibited - may be prepared and supplied to me with information as to which of such insignia have been granted to which persons and Mutts, so that local officers may be enabled to decide conflicting claims in a manner that will obviate chances of breaches of the peace.

Yours sincerely,

C S Balasundaram

To

G. Aravamudiengar Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Muzrai Superintendent,

BANGALORE.

1911

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 3467

Serial No. 2

164

Diary No. of letter replied to

D O No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 19 .

To

The Dy Commr,

Chittagong Divn

Date of issue 12.1.16

Signed by

My dear Sir,

Adverting to your D.O. no 357 Dy 1st Decr 1915 soliciting instructions of ~~Govt~~ in the matter of ~~permitting~~ allowing the Muraji Swami to use certain Biradri in contravention of the Pahadari dated the 29th June 1904 granted to the Matha, I am to observe that

over.

SUBJECT.

the above Rahadari makes
no specific mention of either
ಸಂಪುಟ ೨೮ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೦೨ or a palanquin
with 3 Kalasas and that
the claim of the matha to use
Panchakalasa was rejected in
G.O no 5054-6/Muz-2-57 of 1902
dt 18th May 1908 and the
petitions for review of this
order were subsequently
rejected in Govt no 1842
dt 22-1-1914 "Howda an elephant"
is a special insignia granted
to Sri Uttaradi Matha and
is considered as a Royal
emblem used by the Royal
family, and the claim of the Muraji
matha of this has been not at all
put forward in written petition now required its claim to
this Bindige.

In these circumstances,
I am desired to state
that the Swami may
be advised to abide by the previous
orders of Govt in the matter,

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28 2 11

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

92 V

16

~~that there is no obj~~

~~as mentioned in the~~
~~Rahadari of 1907~~ and that
no innovation will be
countenanced by Govt.

Your suggestion as regards the
~~preparation of a~~ preparation of a full list
of all emblems that
are considered as
insignia will be noted
for future action.

Yours Sincerely

17/1/16

Dft
24/12/15